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## INTRODUCTION

Welcome to Graduate Course Experience 2010, the 18th edition of the annual report into the course experience perceptions of those graduates who completed the requirements for a coursework degree from an Australian higher education institution in 2009 and responded to the 2010 Course Experience Questionnaire (CEQ). In the CEQ , respondents are asked to rate the extent to which they agree or disagree with

13 core items and a subset of 36 optional items, the latter varying by institution.

The core CEQ items constitute:

- Good Teaching Scale (GTS)
- Generic Skills Scale (GSS)
- Overall Satisfaction Item (OSI).

The eight optional CEQ scales comprise:

- Clear Goals and Standards Scale (CGS)
- Appropriate Workload Scale (AWS)
- Appropriate Assessment Scale (AAS)
- Intellectual Motivation Scale (IMS)
- Student Support Scale (SSS)
- Graduate Qualities Scale (GQS)
- Learning Resources Scale (LRS)
- Learning Community Scale (LCS).

The facets of the student experience measured by the CEQ are summarised in Table 1.

The 2010 edition of Graduate Course Experience can also be considered the first in a new series of reports, resulting from two major changes in the conduct of the CEQ:

- CEQ responses collected by telephone were included in the analysable sample for the first time in 2010. In previous years, only responses collected online or on paper were included.
- All five points on the response scale were labelled for the first time in 2010, with points labelled strongly disagree, disagree, neither agree nor disagree, agree and strongly agree. In previous years, only the anchor points of strongly disagree and strongly agree were labelled.
As a result of these changes to the conduct of the CEQ, no time series tables or figures have been included in this report. For all intents and purposes, the 2010 CEQ represents the first year in a new time series and any comparisons to earlier years should be made with caution. ${ }^{1}$

Like the other reports of the 2010 Australian Graduate Survey (AGS), this edition has been condensed and only essential content has been included in order to provide a more accessible report that can be comprehended at a glance. A range of supplementary tables and figures that present CEQ results in much more detail are available for download in Microsoft Excel format from the Graduate Careers Australia (GCA) website at www.graduatecareers. com.au/Research/ResearchReports/ GraduateCourseExperience.
t1: Facets of student experience examined by the CEQ

| Title | Label | Focus | Status | Items |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Good Teaching Scale | GTS | the nature of teaching experienced during a course | Core | 6 |
| Generic Skills Scale | GSS | the enhancement of selected generic skills | Core |  |
| Overall Satisfaction Item | OSI | overall satisfaction with course quality | 6 |  |
| Clear Goals and Standards Scale | CGS | whether course structure was clear and meaningful | Core |  |
| Appropriate Workload Scale | AWS | whether workload levels hindered deeper forms of learning | Optional | 4 |
| Appropriate Assessment Scale | AAS | whether assessment promoted deeper forms of learning | Optional |  |
| Intellectual Motivation Scale | IMS | the impact of the course in inspiring and enabling individuals intellectually | 4 |  |
| Student Support Scale | SSS | access to and satisfaction with key university facilities and services | Optional | 3 |
| Graduate Qualities Scale | GQS | whether the course generated higher-order outcomes and perspectives related to <br> lifelong learning | Optional | 6 |
| Learning Resources Scale | LRS | the appropriateness and effectiveness of sources of information and course materials | Optional | 4 |
| Learning Community Scale | LCS | the social experience of learning at university | 5 | Optional |

[^0]By convention, item and scale statistics are reported in a $-100,-50,0,50$ and 100 reporting metric that corresponds to the five-point response scale. In addition, mean percentage agreement and mean percentage broad agreement scores are presented throughout this report. Mean percentage agreement scores reflect the mean distribution of responses in the agree and strongly agree response categories and can therefore be interpreted as the proportion of responses that fall within these categories. Mean percentage broad agreement scores reflect the mean distribution of responses in the neither agree nor disagree, agree and strongly agree response categories and may be interpreted accordingly.

While the CEQ is conducted as a component of a national graduate census, the extent of survey non-response means that it is reasonable to consider the secured data to be a sample of the overall graduate population. As has been recommended in previous editions of this report, differences in CEQ scores of five points or more may be
considered to be of practical interest because they represent a difference of at least a fifth of a standard deviation (GCA \& ACER, 2010). It is important to bear this in mind when interpreting the results presented in this report and in the supplementary tables and figures.

It is also important to note that CEQ respondents are invited to provide feedback on up to two courses or fields of study, so there are more CEQ responses than respondents. First and second CEQ responses are combined for analysis in this report to enhance the reliability and validity of results.

As the CEQ is a complex instrument with a long history, a detailed discussion of its properties is beyond the scope of this summary report. Readers are directed to previous editions of Graduate Course Experience, which contain a detailed overview of the CEQ. complex instrument with a long history ...

## CEQ RESPONDENT AND RESPONSE CHARACTERISTICS

113,523 valid responses were returned, representing a national CEQ response rate of 52.6 per cent.

AGS questionnaires containing the CEQ were distributed to 215,685 graduates and 113,523 valid responses were returned, representing a national CEQ response rate of 52.6 per cent. This was the highest response rate in more than a decade and was likely aided by telephone data collection.

A total of 53 higher education providers participated in the 2010 survey. Institutional CEQ response rates varied from a low of 21.1 per cent to 70.7 per cent. Institutional response rates are detailed in Supplementary Table A, with institutional respondent numbers for each CEQ scale and the OSI presented in Supplementary Table B.

The distribution of CEQ respondents across key demographic and contextual groups is shown in Table 2, split by qualification group. ${ }^{1}$ More than a quarter of respondents undertook their study in the Management and Commerce field, with the Society and Culture, Health, and Education fields accounting for a sizeable proportion of graduates. The majority of graduates used HECS to pay for their study, with more than one-third paying domestic or international fees. Around half of all respondents had deferred some or all of their HECS debt. Around three-quarters of respondents studied mainly full-time, with bachelor degree graduates much more likely to have studied full-time. Bachelor degree graduates were also much more likely to have undertaken their studies on campus.

The demographic makeup of the sample was consistent with recent surveys, with females accounting for 60.2 per cent of respondents and around half of respondents
being aged under 25 . In total, 20.2 per cent of respondents were not Australian citizens or permanent residents, while 26.7 per cent were from a non-English speaking background. A large majority of respondents had undertaken paid work during the final year of their course. Following course completion, 50.1 per cent of graduates were employed full-time, 28.2 per cent part-time and 21.7 per cent were not working. The proportion of graduates in full-time work was lower relative to 2009 ( 52.4 per cent), with the proportions in part-time work and not working higher by comparison (27.3 per cent and 20.3 per cent respectively - GCA \& ACER 2010).

As noted in the previous section, CEQ respondents are invited to provide feedback on up to two courses or fields of study, so there are more CEQ responses than respondents. In 2010, there were 18,080 graduates who provided a valid second CEQ response, so while there were 113,523 valid CEQ respondents, there were 131,603 valid CEQ responses. The distribution of CEQ responses across key demographic and contextual groups is shown in Supplementary Table C.

[^1] coursework, graduate/postgraduate diploma, graduate certificate, graduate qualifying or preliminary and bachelor degree (graduate entry). Other qualification comprises associate degree and other award course.

## t2: 2010 CEQ respondent characteristics

|  |  | Bach deg |  | Postgra cours |  |  |  | Tot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | . . . . | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |
|  | Natural and Physical Sciences | 6,279 | 9.2 | 1,226 | 2.8 | 15 | 1.7 | 7,520 | 6.6 |
|  | Information Technology | 2,304 | 3.4 | 2,265 | 5.2 | 47 | 5.3 | 4,616 | 4.1 |
|  | Engineering and Related Technologies | 4,048 | 5.9 | 1,897 | 4.3 | 103 | 11.6 | 6,048 | 5.3 |
|  | Architecture and Building | 1,810 | 2.6 | 1,113 | 2.5 | 4 | 0.5 | 2,927 | 2.6 |
|  | Agriculture, Environmental and Related Studies | 1,088 | 1.6 | 556 | 1.3 | 29 | 3.3 | 1,673 | 1.5 |
|  | Health | 11,650 | 17.0 | 6,322 | 14.4 | 93 | 10.5 | 18,065 | 15.9 |
|  | Education | -5,353 | 7.8 | -7,624 | 17.3 | 90 | 10.1 | 13,067 | 11.5 |
|  | Management and Commerce | 16,468 | 24.0 | 13,557 | 30.8 | 204 | 23.0 | 30,229 | 26.6 |
|  | Society and Culture | 13,797 | 20.1 | 7,857 | 17.9 | 235 | 26.5 | 21,889 | 19.3 |
|  | Creative Arts | 5,763 | 8.4 | -1,533 | 3.5 | 56 | 6.3 | 7,352 | 6.5 |
|  | Food, Hospitality and Personal Services | 12 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |  |  | 16 | 0.0 |
|  | Mixed Field Programmes | 15 | 0.0 | - 14 | 0.0 | 12 | 1.4 | 41 | 0.0 |
|  | HECS paid upfront | 9,810 | 14.4 | 3,944 | 9.0 | 110 | 12.5 | 13,864 | 12.3 |
|  | HECS deferred some or all | 43,676 | 64.0 | 12,564 | 28.8 | 371 | 42.3 | 56,611 | 50.2 |
|  | International fee-paying student | 10,745 | 15.7 | 12,958 | 29.7 | 209 | 23.8 | 23,912 | 21.2 |
|  | Australian fee-paying student | 3,972 | 5.8 | 14,114 | 32.3 | 188 | 21.4 | 18,274 | 16.2 |
|  | APA or RTS research student | 32 | 0.0 | 89 | 0.2 |  |  | 121 | 0.1 |
|  | Mainly full-time | 59,140 | 86.4 | 24,449 | 55.8 | 532 | 60.2 | 84,121 | 74.3 |
|  | Mainly part-time | 9,302 | 13.6 | 19,367 | 44.2 | 352 | 39.8 | 29,021 | 25.7 |
|  | Internal (on-campus) | 59,261 | 86.6 | 29,101 | 66.4 | 484 | 54.6 | 88,846 | 78.5 |
|  | External (distance) | 4,234 | 6.2 | 10,878 | 24.8 | 324 | 36.5 | 15,436 | 13.6 |
|  | Mixed mode (internal and external) | 4,951 | 7.2 | 3,864 | 8.8 | 79 | 8.9 | 8,894 | 7.9 |
| $\stackrel{\times}{\oplus}$ | Male | 26,357 | 38.4 | 18,199 | 41.4 | 421 | 47.4 | 44,977 | 39.6 |
|  | Female | 42,141 | 61.4 | 25,709 | 58.5 | 467 | 52.6 | 68,317 | 60.2 |
|  | Unknown | 93 | 0.1 | 60 | 0.1 |  |  | 153 | 0.1 |
| O <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 8 | Under 25 | 46,452 | 67.9 | 7,519 | 17.1 | 382 | 43.6 | 54,353 | 48.0 |
|  | 25 to 29 | 11,158 | 16.3 | 13,886 | 31.7 | 146 | 16.6 | 25,190 | 22.3 |
|  | 30 to 39 | 5,960 | 8.7 | 11,690 | 26.6 | 159 | 18.1 | 17,809 | 15.7 |
|  | 40 to 54 | 4,150 | 6.1 | 9,136 | 20.8 | 149 | 17.0 | 13,435 | 11.9 |
|  | 55 and over | 710 | 1.0 | 1,637 | 3.7 | 41 | 4.7 | 2,388 | 2.1 |
|  | No | 66,613 | 99.3 | 42,796 | 99.5 | 841 | 97.1 | 110,250 | 99.4 |
|  | Yes, Aboriginal | 391 | 0.6 | 192 | 0.4 | 20 | 2.3 | 603 | 0.5 |
|  | Yes, Torres Strait Islander | 25 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.2 | 38 | 0.0 |
|  | Yes, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander | 50 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.3 | 78 | 0.1 |
|  | Australia | 58,255 | 85.0 | 31,485 | 71.7 | 672 | 76.2 | 90,412 | 79.8 |
|  | International | 10,274 | 15.0 | 12,426 | 28.3 | 210 | 23.8 | 22,910 | 20.2 |
|  | English | 52,706 | 77.2 | 29,175 | 67.0 | 650 | 73.8 | 82,531 | 73.3 |
|  | Other | 15,540 | 22.8 | 14,355 | 33.0 | 231 | 26.2 | 30,126 | 26.7 |
|  | Yes | 1,792 | 2.6 | 854 | 2.0 | 25 | 2.8 | 2,671 | 2.4 |
|  | No | 66,524 | 97.4 | 42,887 | 98.0 | 859 | 97.2 | 110,270 | 97.6 |
|  | Yes | 49,595 | 73.8 | 31,658 | 73.4 | 595 | 67.6 | 81,848 | 73.6 |
|  | No | 17,632 | 26.2 | 11,487 | 26.6 | 285 | 32.4 | 29,404 | 26.4 |
|  | In full-time work, or had accepted an offer of full-time work (35 hpw or more) | 31,363 | 46.7 | 23,879 | 55.5 | 435 | 50.0 | 55,677 | 50.1 |
|  | In part-time work, or had accepted an offer of part-time work (fewer than 35 hpw ) | 20,859 | 31.0 | 10,210 | 23.7 | 194 | 22.3 | 31,263 | 28.2 |
|  | Not working | 14,965 | 22.3 | 8,911 | 20.7 | 241 | 27.7 | 24,117 | 21.7 |

# 3.0 

NATIONAL TRENDS IN COURSE EXPERIENCE

## Summary statistics for each CEQ

 scale are presented in Table 3, split by qualification group. For each CEQ scale,Table 3 presents the number of valid scores ( n ), means ( X ), medians ( M ), standard deviations (s), mean percentage agreement (PA) and mean percentage broad agreement (BA). The scale scores for which means, medians and standard
deviations are reported are based on the -100 to 100 CEQ reporting metric discussed in Section 1.

There is considerable variation among the scale means. These range from highs of 51.8, 47.9 and 47.7 for the IMS, GQS and OSI respectively, to lows of 4.7 and 17.7 for the AWS and AAS. Because the CEQ scales reflect different aspects of the student experience, it is not advisable to make direct comparisons across the scales. It is interesting, nonetheless, to note the aspects of their course experience with which graduates expressed less agreement than others. It is also of value to note the standard deviation, as this shows the extent to which the CEQ scores vary from the mean. A smaller standard deviation indicates that
scores are more tightly grouped around the mean, while a larger standard deviation indicates that there is a wider spread of scores. The larger standard deviations on the AAS and OSI are likely due to the fact that they are comprised of fewer items than the other CEQ scales (three and one respectively), making them less consistent and reliable than the other scales.

CEQ item descriptive statistics and response category percentages for bachelor degree graduates are presented in Table 4. This table presents, for each item, the number of responses ( N ), mean, median, standard deviation, mean percentage agreement (PA)

## t3: 2010 CEQ descriptive statistics



|  | - . . . . . . . . . . . . | Descriptive statistics |  |  |  |  |  | Response category percentages |  |  |  |  | Missing Data |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Item | N | Mean | Median | Std. Deviation | PA | BA | SD | D | N | A | SA | P | up |
| GTS01 | The staff put a lo of time into commenting on my work. | 83,141 | 31.1. | 50.0 | 45.9. | 64.6 | 87.0 | 2.3 | 10.8 | 22.4 | 51.7. | 12.9 | - | 0.3 |
| GTS03 | The teaching staff normally gave me helpful feedback on how I was going. | 83,179 | 34.4. | 50.0 | 45.3. | 68.6 | 88.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 19.3 | 54.3. | 14.3 |  | 0.2 |
| GTS10 | The teaching staff of this course motivated me to do my best work. | 83,122 | 31.9 | 50.0 | 47.0 | 61.7 | 88.2 | 2.3 | 9.5 | 26.5 | 45.5 | 16.2 | - | . 3 |
| GTS15 | My lecturers were extremely good at explaining things. | 83,146 | 32.6 | 50.0 | 44.9 | 62.7 | 90.0 | 2.1 | 7.9 | 27.3 | 48.0 | .14.7. |  |  |
| GTS16 | The teaching staff worked hard to make their subjects interesting. | 83,116. | 33.6 | 50.0. | 45.6 | 63.9 | 89.5 | 2.1 | 8.4 | 25.6 | 48.1 | . 15.8 |  | 0.3 |
| GTS27 | The staff made a real effort to understand difficulties 1 might be having with $m$ y work. | 83,036 | 22.7 | 50.0 | 49.1 | 52.8 | 83.8 | 3.6 | 12.6 | 31.0 | 40.3 | 12.5 | . |  |
| GS506 | The course helped me develop my ability to work as a team member. | 83,36 | . 36.5 | 50.0 | 47.1. | 68.2 | 88.6 | 2.3 | 9.1 | 20.4 | 49.6 | 18.6 |  | 0.4 |
| GSS14 | The course sharpened my analytic skills. | 83,067 | 51.6. | 50.0 | 41.2 | 81.1 | 95.0 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 13.9 | 52.8 | 28.3 |  | 0.4 |
| GS523 | The course developed my problem-solving skills. | 83,70 | 46.8 | 50.0 | 40.6 | 78.1 | 94.5 | 1.1 | 4.4 | 16.4 | 56.0 | 22.1 | . |  |
| GS532 | The course improved my skills in written communication. | 83,889. | 50.5 | 50.0 | 44.9 | 79.5 | 93.0 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 13.5 | 49.3 | . 30.2 |  |  |
| GS542 | As a result of my course, I feel confident about tackling unfamiliar problems. | 83,072. | 41.5 | 50.0 | 42.0 | 71.8 | 93.5 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 21.7 | 52.7 | 19.0. |  |  |
| GS543 | My course helped me to develop the ability to plan my own work. | 83,064 | 46.9 | 50.0 | 41.1 | 78.1 | 94.4 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 16.2 | 55.6 | 22.5 |  | 0.4 |
| OSI49 | Overall, I was satisfed with the quality of this course. | 83,51 | 47.9 | 50.0 | . 42.4. | 81.2 | 93.2 | 1.9 | 4.9. | 12.0 | 57.9 | 23.3 |  |  |
| CG508 | It was always easy to know the standard of work expected. | 23,922 | 26.7 | 50.0 | 48.3 | 61.0 | 83.3 | 2.8 | 13.8 | 22.3 | 49.3 | 11.8 | 71.2 | 0.1 |
| CGS28 | I usually had a clear idea of where I was going and what was expected of me in this course. | 23,932 | 35.3 | 50.0 | 43.9 | 70.2 | 89.4 | 2.2 | 8.4 | 19.1 | 57.1 | 13.1 | 71.2 |  |
| CG339 | It was often hard to discover what was expected of me in this course.R | 23,937 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 52.7 | 42.2 | 67.6 | 5.7 | 26.6 | 25.4 | 35.4 | 6.8 | 71.2 | . 1 |
| CGS46 | The staff made it clear right from the start what they expected from students. | 23,935 | 31.5 | 50.0 | 44.0 | 64.5 | 88.9 | 2.1 | 9.0 | 24.4 | 52.7 | 11.7 | 71.2 |  |
| AWS05 | I was generally given enough time to understand the things I had to learn. | 4,357 | 37.3 | 50.0 | 41.3 | 72.4 | 91.2 | 1.6 | 7.2 | 18.8 | 59.8 | 12.6 | 93.8 | 1.0 |
| AWS09 | The sheer volume of work to be got through in this course meant it couldn't all be thoroughly comprehended. R | 4,329 | $-9.2$ | 0.0 | 51.4 | 28.9 | 57.2 | 8.5 | 34.3 | 28.3 | 25.0 | 4.0 | 93.8 |  |
| AWS19 | The workload was too heavy. R | 4,354 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 48.6 | 33.1 | 70.1 | 6.1 | 23.8 | 37.0 | 28.8 | 4.4 | 93.8 |  |
| AWS29 | There was a lot of pressure on me as a student in this course. R | 4,343 | -13.2 | 0.0 | 50.5 | 24.6 | 55.1 | 9.6 | 35.2 | 30.6 | 21.0 | 3.5 | 93.8 | 1.0 |
| AAS04 | To do well in this course all you really needed was a good memory. R | 9,724 | 14.6 | 50.0 | 58.9 | 50.3 | 70.8 | 7.3 | 21.9 | 20.5 | 35.0 | 15.3 | 88.3 | 0.0 |
| AAS26 | The staff seemed more interested in testing what I had memorised than what I had understood. R | 9,714 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 53.5 | 47.7 | 74.8 | 5.1 | 20.1 | 27.2 | 36.1 | 11.6 | 88.3 | . 1 |
| AAS44 | Too many staff asked me questions just about facts. R | 9,707 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 47.7 | 46.8 | 81.2 | 3.0 | 15.8 | 34.4 | 37.4 | 9.5 | 88.3 | 0.1 |
| IMS02 | I found my studies intellectually stimulating. | 8,042 | 53.8 | 50.0 | 40.3 | 84.6 | 94.9 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 10.3 | 55.4 | 29.2 | 89.8 |  |
| IM507 | I found the course motivating. | 8,041 | 39.9 | 50.0 | 45.9 | 71.8 | 89.9 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 18.2 | 51.7 | 20.1 | 89.8 |  |
| IMS13 | Overall, my university experience was worthwhile. | 8,047 | 61.8 | 50.0 | 41.1 | 87.7 | 95.8 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 46.2 | 41.5 | 89.8 |  |
| IMS35 | The course has stimulated my interest in the field of study. | 8,034 | 50.8 | 50.0 | 45.2 | 79.8 | 92.8 | 1.8 | 5.4 | 13.0 | 48.9 | 30.9 | 89.8 |  |
| 55521 | I was able to access information technology resources when I needed them. | 20,600 | 47.6 | 50.0 | 42.9 | 79.8 | 93.0 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 13.2 | 55.7 | 24.1 | 74.6 |  |
| SS524 | Relevant learning resources were accessible when I needed them. | 20,570 | 42.8 | 50.0 | 41.0 | 76.4 | 92.8 | 1.3 | 5.9 | 16.3 | 58.8 | 17.6 | 74.6 |  |
| SS525 | Heath, welfare and counselling services met my requirements. | 20,538 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 41.2 | 45.7 | 93.0 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 47.4 | 34.7 | 11.0 | 74.6 | 0.8 |
| S5S34 | The library services were readily accessible. | 20,598 | 47.9 | 50.0 | 43.6 | 79.4 | 93.0 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 13.6 | 54.1 | 25.3 | 74.6 | 0.7 |
| 55537 | I was satisfied with the course and careers advice provided. | 20,586 | 25.3 | 50.0 | 50.2 | 58.8 | 83.7 | 4.4 | 11.9 | 24.9 | 46.1 | 12.6 | 74.6 | 0.7 |
| GQS11 | The course provided me with a broad overview of my field of knowledge. | 59,350 | 50.3 | 50.0 | 41.1 | 82.2 | 94.3 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 12.1 | 56.7 | 25.5 | 27.0 |  |
| GQS17 | The course developed my confidence to investigate new ideas. | 59,34 | 42.3 | 50.0 | 43.2 | 72.4 | 92.9 | 1.5 | 5.6 | 20.5 | 51.7 | 20.7 | 27.0 | 1.8 |
| GQS30 | University stimulated my enthusiasm for further learning. | 59,366 | 39.7 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 69.1 | 88.8 | 3.0 | 8.2 | 19.7 | 44.5 | 24.6 | 27.0 | 1.8 |
| GQS36 | Ilearned to apply principles from this course to new situations. | 59,365 | 47.4 | 50.0 | 39.8 | 78.9 | 95.1 | 1.2 | 3.8 | 16.2 | 56.9 | 22.0 | 27.0 | 1.8 |
| GQS40 | I consider what learned valuable for my future. | 59,350 | 58.1 | 50.0 | 41.5 | 85.1 | 95.6 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 10.5 | 48.3 | 36.8 | 27.0 | 1.8 |
| GQS48. | My university experience encouraged me to value perspectives other than my own. | 59,339 | 48.5 | 50.0 | 40.7 | 78.6 | 95.3 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 16.7 | 54.1 | 24.5 | 27.0 | 1.8 |
| LRS12 | The library resources were appropriate for my needs. | 11,551 | 38.3 | 50.0 | 47.7 | 69.2 | 89.3 | 2.5 | 8.2 | 20.1 | 48.4 | 20.7 | 85.5 |  |
| LRS33 | The study materials were clear and concise. | 11,571 | 38.8 | 50.0 | 42.6 | 72.4 | 91.3 | 1.7 | 7.0 | 19.0 | 56.7 | 15.7 | 85.5 | 0.6 |
| LRS38 | It was made clear what resources were available to help me learn. | 11,552 | 41.0 | 50.0 | 41.2 | 74.8 | 92.4 | 1.4 | 6.2 | 17.6 | 58.6 | 16.2 | 85.5 | 0.6 |
| LRS41 | Course materials were erevevant and up to date. | 11,562 | 44.8 | 50.0 | 41.9 | 78.2 | 92.9 | 1.6 | 5.5 | 14.7 | 58.1 | 20.1 | 85.5 | 0.6 |
| LRS47 | Where it was used, the information technology in teaching and learning was effective: | 11,554 | 42.5 | 50.0 | 40.7 | 76.1 | 93.5 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 17.5 | 58.9 | 17.1 | 85.5 | 0.6 |
| LCS18 | I felt part of a group of students and staff committed to learning. | 22,051 | 33.7 | 50.0 | 47.4 | 65.2 | 88.1 | 2.7 | 9.2 | 22.9 | 48.5 | 16.8 | 73.4 | 0.1 |
| LCS20 | Students' ideas and suggestions were used during the course. | 22,039 | 23.3 | 50.0 | 47.2 | 53.6 | 84.7 | 2.9 | 12.4 | 31.1 | 42.6 | 11.1 | 73.4 | 0.1 |
| LCS22 | . learned to explore ideas confidenty with other peoole. | 22,051 | 38.3 | 50.0 | 41.7 | 70.6 | 92.2 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 21.7 | 55.4 | 15.2 | 73.4 | 0.1 |
| LCS31 | I felt \| belonged to the university community. | 21,933 | 21.2 | 50.0 | 54.0 | 53.5 | 79.9 | 5.6 | 14.5 | 26.4 | 38.7 | 14.7 | 73.4 | 0.3 |
| LCS45 | I was able to explore academic interests with staff and students. | 22,027 | 31.2 | 50.0 | 46.0 | 61.5 | 88.5 | 2.2 | 9.3 | 27.0 | 46.9 | 14.6 | 73.4 | 0.2 |

graduates tend to feel pressured by heavy workloads in ways that might inhibit 'deep' learning ...
and mean percentage broad agreement (BA), the distribution of responses across the five point response scale (strongly disagree (SD), disagree (D), neither agree nor disagree (N), agree (A) and strongly agree (SA)), and the percentages of planned ( P ) and unplanned (UP) missing data. Planned missing data are due to institutional selection of optional CEQ scales, while unplanned missing data are due to graduate non-response to items included in the CEQ. The response category percentages do not include missing data. Some figures may not add precisely to 100 per cent due to rounding error.

The item mean percentage agreement figures for bachelor degree respondents are presented in Figure 1, in order to better illustrate the variation between items within each scale and the mean agreement to the discrete phenomenon tapped by each scale. The items have been sorted within their
respective scales by their mean percentage agreement. Reverse coded items have been flagged with an ' R ' in their item label. It is encouraging to see that the highest item scores are for IMS13 ('Overall my university experience was worthwhile') and GQS40 ('I consider what I learned valuable for my future'), which both ask graduates to assess the overall value of their higher education experience. These items also recorded the highest scores in 2009. The lowest item scores are for AWS29 ('There was a lot of pressure on me as a student in this course') and AWS09 ('The sheer volume of work to be got through in this course meant it couldn't all be thoroughly comprehended'), which were also the items with the lowest scores in 2009. Given the reverse coding, this suggests that graduates tend to feel pressured by heavy workloads in ways that might inhibit 'deep' learning (GCA \& ACER, 2010).

# 0 <br> The teaching staff normally gave me helpful feedback on how I was going. (GTS03) The staff put a lo of time into commenting on my work. (GTSO1) The teaching staff worked hard to make their subjects interesting. (GTS16) My lecturers were extremely good at explaining things. (GTS15) The teaching staff of this course motivated me to do my best work. (GTS10) aff made a real effort to understand difficulties I might be having with my work. (GTS27) <br> <br> Generic Skills <br> <br> Generic Skills <br> The course sharpened my analytic skills. (GSS14) <br> The course improved my skills in written communication. (GSS32) My course helped me to develop the ability to plan my own work. (GSS43) <br> The course developed my problem-solving skills. (GSS23) As a result of my course, I feel confident about tackling unfamiliar problems. (GSS42) The course helped me develop my ability to work as a team member. (GSSO6) <br> <br> Overall Satisfaction <br> <br> Overall Satisfaction <br> Overall, I was satisfied with the quality of this course. (OSI49) <br> <br> Clear Goals and Standard 

 <br> <br> Clear Goals and Standard}

## Agreement (per cent)

da clear idea of where I was going and what was expected of me in this course. (CGS28) The staff made it clear right from the start what they expected from students. (CGS46)

It was always easy to know the standard of work expected. (CGSO8)
It was often hard to discover what was expected of me in this course. R (CGS39)

## Appropriate Workload



I was generally given enough time to understand the things I had to learn. (AWSO5) The workload was too heary. R (AWS19 jot through in this course meant it couldn't all be thoroughly comprehended. R (AWSO9) There was a lot of pressure on me as a student in this course. R (AWS29)

Appropriate Assessment
To do well in this course all you really needed was a good memory. R (AAS04) nore interested in testing what I had memorised than what I had understood. R (AAS26) Too many staff asked me questions just tbout facts. R (AAS44)

## Intellectual Motivation

Overall, my university experience was worthwhile. (IMS13) I found my studies intellectually stimulating. (IMSO2
The course has stimulated my interest in the field of study. (IMS35) I found the course motivating. (IMSO7)

## Student Support

I was able to access information technology resources when I needed them. (SSS21) The library services were readily accessible. (SSS34) Relevant learning resources were accessible when I needed them. (SSS24)

I was satisfied with the course and careers advice provided. (SSS37)
Health, welfare and counselling services met my requirements. (SSS25)
Graduate Qualities
I consider what I learned valuable for my future. (GQS40)
The course provided me with a broad overview of my field of knowledge. (GOS11) I learned to apply principles from this course to new situations. (GQS36) university experience encouraged me to value perspectives other than my own. (GQS48) The course developed my confidence to investigate new ideas. (GQS17)

University stimulated my enthusiasm for further learning. (GQS30)
Learning Resources
Course materials were relevant and up to date. (LRS41)
it was used, the information technology in teaching and learning was effective. (LRS47)
It was made clear what resources were available to help me learn. (LRS38)
The study materials were clear and concise. (LRS33)
The library resources were appropriate for my needs. (LRS12) Learning Community
I learned to explore ideas confidently with other people. (LCS22) I felt part of a group of students and staff committed to learning. (LCS18) I was able to explore acdemic interests with staff and students. (LCS45) Students' ideas and suggestions were used during the course. (LCS20) I fett I belonged to the university community. (LCS31)
f1: CEQ item mean percentage agreement (bachelor graduates)

## 4.0

THE INFLUENCE OF COURSE CHARACTERISTICS ON CEQ SCORES

This section presents CEQ scale and OSI descriptive statistics for different course characteristics, including broad field of education (BFOE), detailed field of education (DFOE), means of financing study, attendance type and mode of study. As discussed earlier, it is not advisable to make direct comparisons across the scales.

The point of reference for each mean percentage agreement figure is either the corresponding figure for the whole sample or that for the alternate groups.

Mean percentage agreement scores across the ten main BFOE are presented in Table 5, split by qualification group. The broad fields of Food, Hospitality and Personal Services and Mixed Field Programmes have been omitted due to small response numbers. Because aggregations to BFOE level hide much of the detail that is relevant to individual schools, faculties and departments, mean percentage agreement scores for the three core CEQ scales (GTS, GSS and OSI) are presented for each narrow field of education (NFOE) in Supplementary Figures A to J.

In order to probe more deeply into CEQ scores for different disciplines, mean percentage agreement scores for the 30 largest DFOE for bachelor degree respondents are presented in Table 6. The results are sorted in descending order from the field with the largest number of responses. These 30 fields account for 55 per cent of all bachelor degree responses.
Among these fields, the Accounting, Banking and Finance, Teacher Education: Primary and General Nursing fields tended to have the lowest CEQ scores across many scales. Conversely, the fields of History, Biological Sciences and Literature tended to have the highest results on average. Indeed, History graduates had the highest score out of any of these 30 fields on the GTS, OSI, CGS and GQS scales. Scale scores across these fields varied most for the AAS and AWS and least for the OSI, GSS and SSS. For the AAS, graduates in the Accounting
field had the lowest scores (30.0), while Political Science graduates had the highest scores (73.7). For the AWS, graduates in the Law field had the lowest scores (20.4), while Political Science graduates again had the highest scores (62.5).
As shown in Figure 2, international feepaying graduates had lower scores than domestic graduates on some scales, with particularly large differences observed on the AAS and AWS. A breakdown of these scores by qualification group is presented in Supplementary Table D.

From Figure 3, it can be seen that coursework graduates who studied mainly part-time had higher mean percentage agreement scores on seven of the eleven course experience measures, with particularly large differences observed on the AAS and AWS. Coursework graduates who studied mainly full-time had notably higher scores than their part-time classmates on the LCS and SSS. A breakdown of these scores by qualification group is presented in Supplementary Table E.
Coursework graduates who studied externally by distance education had lower scores on five scales relative to graduates who had studied internally or by mixed mode, with the AWS and AAS being notable exceptions (Table 7). Unsurprisingly, the scores for external graduates were the lowest on the LCS, which measures the social experience of learning at university.
t5: CEQ mean percentage agreement scores by broad field of education

t6: CEQ mean percentage agreement scores for the 30 largest detailed fields of education (bachelor graduates)*

|  | n | GTS | GSS | OSI | CGS | AWS | AAS | IMS | SSS | GQS | LRS | LCS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounting | 6,123 | 54.1 | 71.9 | 80.1 | 57.9 | 39.5 | 30.0 | 72.5 | 69.2 | 70.8 | 74.8 | 54.0 |
| Business and Management | 3,401 | 58.8 | 77.6 | 81.7 | 60.6 | 44.0 | 40.7 | 73.9 | 66.2 | 75.6 | 76.1 | 57.2 |
| Psychology | 3,346 | 63.3 | 78.8 | 82.9 | 59.8 | 35.4 | 53.3 | 85.1 | 69.8 | 82.7 | 79.6 | 59.6 |
| General Nursing | 3,291 | 58.4 | 78.0 | 76.6 | 53.1 | 28.8 | 33.4 | 83.1 | 69.5 | 79.3 | 69.7 | 57.7 |
| Banking and Finance | 3,271 | 52.9 | 71.4 | 77.6 | 58.0 | 43.2 | 41.9 | 76.1 | 68.7 | 72.1 | 74.9 | 50.7 |
| Teacher Education: Primary | 2,289 | 58.9 | 72.4 | 75.0 | 53.3 | 38.0 | 45.8 | 79.0 | 65.3 | 74.7 | 67.8 | 56.7 |
| Marketing | 2,277 | 61.3 | 78.6 | 83.8 | 62.4 | 42.7 | 32.7 | 76.7 | 67.0 | 77.2 | 75.1 | 59.3 |
| Law | 1,986 | 53.0 | 79.3 | 80.7 | 50.7 | 20.4 | 56.5 | 80.0 | 71.6 | 78.8 | 78.4 | 55.0 |
| History | 1,488 | 77.1 | 75.8 | 88.1 | 67.8 | 46.9 | 62.4 | 86.0 | 63.9 | 84.4 | 82.0 | 61.1 |
| Medical Science | 1,288 | 63.6 | 78.8 | 85.3 | 55.4 | 48.9 | 40.8 | 85.2 | 71.9 | 78.4 | 73.5 | 69.0 |
| Nursing | 1,245 | 61.5 | 79.2 | 76.2 | 59.4 |  | 58.3 | 79.2 | 67.8 | 79.7 | 70.6 | 56.0 |
| Political Science | 1,194 | 68.7 | 75.8 | 85.4 | 58.8 | 62.5 | 73.7 | 88.5 | 67.0 | 81.9 | 74.9 | 56.6 |
| Human Resource Management | 1,106 | 62.0 | 80.8 | 85.5 | 65.1 | 46.1 | 43.2 | 86.4 | 69.9 | 79.0 | 78.5 | 58.5 |
| Economics | 1,091 | 59.0 | 72.7 | 80.0 | 61.0 | 48.1 | 44.1 | 78.9 | 67.1 | 74.4 | 72.5 | 59.1 |
| Human Movement | 1,038 | 66.0 | 77.6 | 82.3 | 64.6 | 43.2 | 37.1 | 83.7 | 68.3 | 82.4 | 79.4 | 68.1 |
| Communication and Media Studies | 1,008 | 64.1 | 72.4 | 78.8 | 57.0 | 56.8 | 57.9 | 85.6 | 65.4 | 74.7 | 71.5 | 62.4 |
| Teacher Education: Early Childhood | 995 | 63.3 | 80.2 | 83.4 | 56.9 | 34.0 | 60.4 | 78.1 | 66.3 | 81.7 | 72.4 | 63.2 |
| Teacher Education: Secondary | 959 | 61.5 | 73.3 | 76.6 | 57.5 | 35.2 | 41.5 | 73.0 | 69.2 | 76.6 | 67.5 | 60.5 |
| International Business | 865 | 56.8 | 75.1 | 77.8 | 62.1 | 47.2 | 48.2 | 76.2 | 67.3 | 75.3 | 75.5 | 54.9 |
| Business and Management, n.e.c. | 827 | 61.2 | 75.1 | 81.3 | 64.7 | 43.5 | 45.3 | 85.0 | 60.9 | 75.2 | 67.7 | 59.2 |
| Communication and Media Studies, n.e.c. | 787 | 66.9 | 73.9 | 78.8 | 55.7 | 49.8 | 66.7 | 78.0 | 62.6 | 77.9 | 63.5 | 60.3 |
| General Medicine | 782 | 53.4 | 76.9 | 82.4 | 42.0 |  | 55.6 | 100.0 | 76.1 | 82.9 | 68.0 | 69.3 |
| Graphic and Design Studies | 714 | 67.1 | 72.8 | 78.0 | 55.7 | 36.8 | 54.2 | 78.4 | 69.5 | 77.9 | 62.2 | 62.1 |
| Journalism | 709 | 67.6 | 77.3 | 82.1 | 60.2 | 59.1 | 69.9 | 79.7 | 66.5 | 79.1 | 74.5 | 62.6 |
| Music | 685 | 69.3 | 67.7 | 76.6 | 56.4 | 40.7 | 66.2 | 75.0 | 63.1 | 75.3 | 63.0 | 64.9 |
| Mechanical Engineering | 673 | 50.3 | 81.1 | 77.0 | 53.2 | 40.0 | 57.9 | 83.4 | 67.7 | 74.7 | 71.6 | 60.1 |
| Pharmacy | 673 | 60.0 | 76.4 | 83.9 | 61.8 |  | 45.5 |  | 66.8 | 79.0 | 69.0 | 73.8 |
| Information Systems | 660 | 59.3 | 78.8 | 78.6 | 57.9 | 43.7 | 30.8 | 75.7 | 70.6 | 76.5 | 72.3 | 64.5 |
| Biological Sciences | 642 | 68.4 | 80.3 | 87.7 | 60.0 | 25.0 | 43.7 | 85.0 | 73.0 | 80.0 | 85.8 | 64.2 |
| Literature | 633 | 75.3 | 74.5 | 86.5 | . 65.0 | 44.8 | 65.4 | 87.1 | 64.5 | 82.0 | 77.6 | 59.5 |

[^2]
f2: CEQ mean percentage agreement by means of financing study (coursework graduates)

f3: CEQ mean percentage agreement by attendance type (coursework graduates)
t7: CEQ mean percentage agreement scores by mode of study

the scores for external graduates were the lowest on the LCS, which measures the social experience of learning at university.

THE INFLUENCE OF RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS ON CEQ SCORES

This section presents CEQ scale and OSI descriptive statistics for different groups of respondents, including age, sex, whether the graduate identified as being Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander, permanent resident status, whether the graduate identified as having a disability, final year work status and labour market participation at the time of the survey.

Consistent with earlier surveys, Table 8 shows a positive relationship between age and CEQ scores, with older graduates providing higher scores than their younger counterparts on most CEQ scales. This was especially evident in relation to the AAS, AWS, CGS and GTS. Conversely, younger graduates tended to provide higher scores on the GSS, SSS and LCS. Broadly similar trends are observed when these results are examined by qualification group.

As shown in Figure 4, there are only minor variations between male and female respondents across most CEQ scales. The largest difference is observed on the AAS, where females provide higher scores than males. A breakdown of these scores by qualification group is presented in Supplementary Table F, in which it is shown that this difference by sex is strongest at the postgraduate coursework level.

From Figure 5, it can be seen that respondents who identified as being Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander provided higher scores on the AWS than their non-indigenous counterparts, while non-indigenous respondents provided higher scores on the LCS. Overall, the response patterns of indigenous and non-indigenous graduates were largely similar across the CEQ scales, with both groups providing
t8: CEQ mean percentage agreement scores by age group

|  |  | Under 25 | 25 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 54 | 55 and over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GTS | 64.7 | 64.1 | 64.7 | 65.3 | 69.2 |
|  | GSS | 74.9 | 73.0 | 70.9 | 69.5 | 69.3 |
|  | OSI | 79.7 | 79.3 | 81.6 | 82.5 | 83.9 |
|  | CGS | 58.6 | 57.4 | 61.4 | 63.9 | 68.1 |
|  | AWS | 37.3 | 36.5 | 41.8 | 53.6 | 57.7 |
|  | AAS | 42.4 | 44.5 | 60.1 | 68.9 | 75.8 |
|  | IMS | 81.0 | 80.7 | 83.3 | 87.4 | 85.2 |
|  | SSS | 67.3 | 67.5 | 64.7 | 60.3 | 62.4 |
|  | GQS | 77.0 | 76.6 | 79.5 | 80.5 | 81.9 |
|  | LRS | 72.8 | 73.0 | 75.4 | 74.5 | 76.5 |
|  | LCS | 66.7 | 63.1 | 58.9 | 54.5 | 57.1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | GTS | 61.8 | 61.9 | 64.6 | 65.6 | 72.0 |
|  | GSS | 76.4 | 75.1 | 76.2 | 76.0 | 74.2 |
|  | OSI | 81.3 | 79.7 | 81.8 | 82.8 | 83.6 |
|  | CGS | 59.0 | 58.5 | 61.8 | 64.2 | 66.8 |
|  | AWS | 40.0 | 37.9 | 38.9 | 42.4 | 46.9 |
|  | AAS | 46.4 | 47.1 | 51.6 | 57.9 | 66.0 |
|  | IMS | 80.4 | 78.7 | 86.7 | 88.0 | 89.9 |
|  | SSS | 68.5 | 66.8 | 66.9 | 66.9 | 69.4 |
|  | GQS | 77.0 | - 77.1 | 81.2 | 82.9 | 83.2 |
|  | LRS | 73.6 | 73.2 | 75.1 | 75.9 | 77.0 |
|  | LCS | 62.2 | 58.5 | 56.0 | 56.2 | 59.3 |
|  | GTS | 62.2 | 63.1 | 64.6 | 65.4 | 70.2 |
|  | GSS | 76.2 | 74.0 | 72.7 | 71.6 | 70.9 |
|  | OSI | 81.1 | 79.5 | 81.6 | 82.6 | 83.9 |
|  | CGS | 59.0 | 58.0 | . 61.6 | 63.9 | 67.4 |
|  | AWS | 39.4 | 37.1 | 40.6 | 49.5 | 53.9 |
|  | AAS | 45.8 | 45.7 | 56.7 | 64.5 | 72.1 |
|  | IMS | 80.4 | 79.8 | 84.2 | 87.5 | 86.2 |
|  | SSS | 68.3 | 67.2 | 65.4 | 62.5 | 64.7 |
|  | GQS | 77.0 | 76.9 | 80.1 | 81.3 | 82.2 |
|  | LRS | 73.4 | 73.2 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 76.7 |
|  | LCS | 62.7 | 61.0 | 57.9 | 55.2 | 57.8 |


f4: CEQ mean percentage agreement by sex (coursework graduates)

f5: CEQ mean percentage agreement by Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander identification (coursework graduates)
there are only minor variations between male and female respondents across most CEQ scales.
relatively high scores on the OSI and IMS and relatively low scores on the AWS and AAS. When interpreting these findings it is important to remember that the number of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander graduates who provided a CEQ response is relatively small (see Table 2). A breakdown of these scores by qualification group is presented in Supplementary Table $G$.

Graduates who are Australian citizens or permanent residents tended to provide more positive CEQ responses than those
who are not (Figure 6). This was especially evident for the AAS and AWS, with the difference in relation to assessment being particularly striking. A breakdown of these scores by qualification group is presented in Supplementary Table H, in which it is shown that this difference in perceptions of assessment is strongest at the postgraduate coursework level.

From Figure 7, it can be seen that having a disability plays a very minor role in graduates' course experience perceptions,

f6: CEQ mean percentage agreement by permanent resident status (coursework graduates)
with only minor differences observed on all scales. The largest differences were observed on the LRS, CGS and OSI, where graduates with no disability provided more positive responses than their counterparts who identified as having a disability. A breakdown of these scores by qualification group is presented in Supplementary Table I.

Graduates who were in paid work during their final year of study provided notably higher scores on the AAS and AWS compared with those graduates who did not
work in their final year of study (Table 9). This difference was more pronounced for coursework postgraduates. Postgraduate coursework respondents who were working at the time of the survey also tended to provide higher scores on the AAS and AWS than their counterparts who were not working, although corresponding differences for bachelor degree graduates were only negligible (Table 10). It is important to note that graduates who were not working may or may not have been
seeking work, or may have been engaged in other non-work activities at the time of the survey, such as further full- or part-time study.


Figure 7; CEQ mean percentage agreement by disability identified (coursework graduates)

## t9: CEQ mean percentage agreement scores by final year work

 status|  |  | Paid work in final year | No paid work in final year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GTS | 64.2 | 66.2 |
|  | GSS | 71.0 | 74.5 |
|  | OSI | 81.0 | 80.1 |
|  | CGS | 60.9 | 58.3 |
|  | AWS | 44.8 | 35.5 |
|  | AAS | 58.4 | 42.5 |
|  | IMS | 83.1 | 82.2 |
|  | SSS | 63.2 | 69.7 |
|  | GQS | 78.9 | 77.2 |
|  | LRS | 74.2 | 75.3 |
|  | LCS | 59.0 | 65.0 |
|  | GTS | 61.8 | 63.9 |
|  | GSS | 76.1 | 76.1 |
|  | OSI | 81.2 | 80.9 |
|  | CGS | 59.7 | 58.9 |
|  | AWS | 39.9 | 39.3 |
|  | AAS | 49.1 | 45.3 |
|  | IMS | 81.1 | 80.4 |
|  | SSS | 67.6 | 69.2 |
|  | GQS | 78.0 | 76.8 |
|  | LRS | 74.2 | 74.0 |
|  | LCS | 60.4 | 62.3 |
| y0000000000000 | GTS | 62.7 | 64.8 |
|  | GSS | 74.3 | 75.5 |
|  | OSI | 81.2 | 80.6 |
|  | CGS | 60.2 | 58.7 |
|  | AWS | 41.9 | 37.1 |
|  | AAS | 52.5 | 44.1 |
|  | IMS | 81.8 | 81.0 |
|  | SSS | 65.9 | 69.3 |
|  | GQS | 78.4 | 76.9 |
|  | LRS | 74.1 | 74.5 |
|  | LCS | 60.0 | 63.3 |

t10: CEQ mean percentage agreement scores by labour market activity

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## *
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[^0]:    1 The full impact of these changes to the CEQ will be explored in a series of whitepapers to be published by Graduate Careers Australia in the second half of 2011.

[^1]:    1 Bachelor degree comprises bachelor degree (honours), bachelor degree (pass) and advanced diploma or diploma. Postgraduate coursework comprises doctorate by coursework, master degree by

[^2]:    *The abbreviation'n.e.c.' denotes a field not elsewhere classified.

